

NOW READY.

BOUND VOLUMES of the TRADE REPORT for the year 1870. Price \$10.
Applies at the Daily Press Office.
Hongkong, 1st February, 1871.

The offices of the Daily Press from that date onwards on Friday morning at 8.10 and the last messenger left the office at 8.30.

The Daily Press

HONGKONG, MAY 13TH, 1871.

As is to naturally expected, the terrible catastrophe on board the *Dolores Uigars* has given rise to a great deal of discussion with reference to the subject of fitting out vessels for the coolie trade in Hongkong. Various important questions are at once suggested. Are the ships which fit for "coolies" obliged to notify their intention of doing so to the Hongkong Government, and to apply for permission; and if so, under what restrictions are they placed and what steps are adopted for the suppression of irregularities, and by virtue of what arrangement are they taken? Have ships in the harbour of Hongkong been recognized as of one nationality by their flag, while bearing on their stern the name of port in another country? These questions demand some answer at the present time; as it is imperative that Hongkong should be kept clear of the monstrous abuse which exists in the neighbouring Colony; and that the "coolie conventions" with China and the Chinese Passengers' Acts are sufficient to show the anxiety of the Home Authorities that our hands should be entirely free from any participation, either direct or indirect, in the coolie traffic as conducted at Macao. There can we imagine no doubt of the illegality of a vessel fitting up in Hongkong for the purpose of carrying on a coolie traffic from Macao. It is a general principle that any act which is directly or indirectly intended to create a law is illegal in itself, and can be prevented by Government interference. If, therefore, vessels are found to be making use of Hongkong-harbour for the purpose of conducting a trade which is directly prohibited by law in force in this Colony, their action in doing so is simply illegal; and can be put down if it be discovered. Now the *Dolores Uigars*, as is well-known, fitted up in this harbour, and there is also no doubt that, except the man destined to look after this matter, unfortunately blind, something must have arrested his attention in regard to the peculiar preparations which were being made. For example, it would be impossible that such a thing as an iron grating used to place over the hatches or the barricade being erected on the deck, should escape the notice of the least vigilant inspector of the ships in the harbour; and it is, therefore, a legitimate question whether, on discovering the preparations that were being made, he reported the matter to his superior officer, and what that gentleman did when the subject was brought to his notice. No doubt, questions of this kind are best with considerable difficulty, and it is quite possible that the Acting Harbour Master and his assistants may not have been fully aware of their duty and responsibilities; but, on the other hand, this would not appear to be the case if what is stated is true, that warning was given by someone or more of the officers connected with the Harbour Master's department that the fittings of the vessel must be kept within certain limits, to avoid a question arising as to their being legitimate or otherwise. If this is an exact statement of what occurred, it is highly desirable that a stop be put to the practice of giving intimations of the kind in anything but the strictest official form; as otherwise the result of them may be precisely the opposite of what is desired, that is to say, that the ship infringing the law will be put on its guard, and the detection and suppression of the act rendered impossible.

These questions are of the utmost importance at the present time, and it is to be hoped that those in authority will not shrink from the duty of searching into investigating them. Without pretending to a thorough mastery of the complicated points, legal and general, which are involved in the matter, we cannot but think, it is beyond any doubt that no nation or colony is called upon to allow one of its harbours to be used for the express purpose of making arrangements to do at a neighbouring port, that which it declares illegal at its own port. It is true, it may be argued, that the carrying of coolies in the way designated is legal at Macao, and that the preparations are for a legal and not for an illegal act, and cannot, therefore, be objected to. But the matter is very similar to the cases where acts legal in themselves are done with the view of evading the laws of another country, in respect to which Courts have gone so far, in holding them illegal, that they will not entertain any claim in connection with them, though the claims in themselves may be legitimate. We may assume that we may fairly claim the same protection for ourselves, as we thus "favour" to other nations; and if we thus "favour" to other nations, what is contrary to the spirit of our laws, we may not unreasonably put the practice down. However this may be in its strictly legal bearing, there can be no doubt as to the bearing in point of common-sense and reasonableness. If any technical difficulty stands in the way of preventing vessels fitting out for the Macao coolie trade in Hongkong, the sooner steps are taken by the Legislature to remedy the evil the better. If we were assured that regulations which will secure the proper conduct of the traffic at Macao will be enforced, it would be absurd to put any difficulties in the way of fitting the ships out; but when we not only do not know that there are proper regulations, but are aware that there is the most flagrant want of anything like decent supervision, we are fully justified in putting down with a high hand, any participation in this terrible traffic.

The Kwok Aching affair has taken a new and important phase. Mr. Francis, at last, applied for a writ of habeas corpus on the ground that the prisoner has been illegally committed for trial on the charge of piracy. It is also on a section of the *Hales Corpus Act*, (cited by him before the magistrate), while it provides that no person discharged on *Hales Corpus* can be again committed on the same charge, except by leave of the Court which discharged him, or of another Court, "having jurisdiction of the cause." The hearing is fixed for Monday.

INQUESTS.
(Correspondent of *Advertiser*).
TIENTSIN, 26th April, 1871.

Matters are pretty quiet here. Kidnapping rumours are prevalent still in the city. No. 10, the English Mission, is the English Mission. Missions of other converts for have sustained during the massacre. These are, of course, suffering in consequence, whilst the Missions are greatly inconvenienced. For the present the chance of justice being done appears to grow daily. I see you have doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were then passed:—

Proposed by the Chairman, and seconded by Mr. George D. Newell, to doubt whether the memorandum of the Tung-ni-yuen is to be deported, or denationalized and as far as possible removed from the Chinese. The following resolutions were

Siagon Rice, 600 piculs, at \$2.32, by Hop-
White Wax, 1 piece, \$14.00, by How-wo
White-green merchant.
Siang Black Rice, 600 piculs, at \$2.30, by How-wo
to Tung-tan merchant.
White Sugar, 500 piculs, at \$8.50, by How-wo
to Shanghai merchant.
Cochineal Cotton, 50 bales, at \$12.25 per bale
merchant to Tuk-on.
Cotton Cloth, 500 bales, at \$12.75, by foreign
merchant to Kowloon.
Grey Shirting, 8-4bs., 3,000 pieces, at \$2.37,
by foreign merchant to Hongkong merchant.
Grey Shirting, 7lbs., 1,000 pieces, at \$2.05,
by foreign merchant to Hongkong merchant.

Notices of Firms.

NOTICE:
M. R. E. D. BARBOUR retires from our
Firm from this date, and Mr. J. R. C.
MURRAY FORTES, Jr., is authorized to sign our
Firm by preparation.

RUSSELL & Co.
at 107, China, 1st January, 1871.

THE interest and responsibility of Mr.
THEODORE PEPPER, in our firm, ceases
from this date.

W. M. PUSTAU & Co.
Hongkong and China.
7th February, 1871. (6m 257)

NOTICE:

WE have this day established a branch of
our House in Kowloon.

The business at our Agencies in Tientsin,
Kuklung and Ningpo, will hereafter be con-
ducted in the name of our firm by our recog-
nized Agents in charge.

RUSSELL & Co.

at 182, China, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

M. R. WILLIAM HENRY MOORE and Mr.

OTTO FISCHERSON, have this day been
admitted partners in our firm.

LAMBERT ATKINSON & Co.

at 123, Hongkong, 30th June, 1870.

NOTICE:

M. R. THOMAS H. DE SILVER is admitted
a partner in our firm from the 1st of

October, 1869.

L. FRIGEREL & Co.

at 2002, Hongkong, 21st October, 1869.

Houses, Lands, Tenements, &c.

TO LET.

A TWO-STALL STABLE AND COACH
HOUSE, in Sze-ko, App to

W. M. FALCONER & Co.

at 828, Hongkong, 11th May, 1871.

TO LET.

A BUNGALOW, on a large Garden, just
vacated by GE. FALCONER, Esq.

Apply to

A. A. DE MELLO & Co.

at 780, Macao, 5th May, 1871.

TO LET.

THAT commodious family RESIDENCE,
in Observatory Lane, at present in the occu-
pation of Mr. W. H. BROWN.

10 Rooms, Out Office, with a 4 Stall Stable
and Coach House. Possession can be had on
1st June. Apply to

G. FALCONER & Co.

at 769, Hongkong, 5th May, 1871.

TO LET.

THAT large and commodious HOUSE, No.
14, Arabinia Road, lately occupied by

Mr. H. G. CALLOWELL.

Water and Gas laid on. Apply to

J. A. DE CARVALHO.

29d Hongkong, 5th May, 1871.

TO LET.

(With possession on the 1st May next.)
THAT large and commodious HOUSE in
Chancery Lane, at present in the occupa-
tion of Mr. E. ENGRASAGAO.

For particulars apply to

O. A. OZORIO, Jr.

No. 39, Queen's Road.

ff 618, Hongkong, 11th April, 1871.

TO BE LET.

WHICH possession on the 1st May next,
THE PREMISES, at present occupied by

Wm. W. PEAGOD & Co., situated

Queen's Road Central.

For particulars apply to

W. M. PUSTAU & Co.

at 583, Hongkong, 4th April, 1871.

TO LET.

With possession on the 1st May next,
THAT large and commodious HOUSE in
Chancery Lane, at present in the occupa-
tion of Mr. E. ENGRASAGAO.

For particulars apply to

O. A. OZORIO, Jr.

No. 39, Queen's Road.

ff 618, Hongkong, 11th April, 1871.

TO LET.

THAT large and commodious HOUSE, No.
14, Arabinia Road, lately occupied by

Mr. H. G. CALLOWELL.

Water and Gas laid on. Apply to

J. A. DE CARVALHO.

29d Hongkong, 5th May, 1871.

TO LET.

THAT large and commodious HOUSE, No.
14, Arabinia Road, lately occupied by

Mr. H. G. CALLOWELL.

Water and Gas laid on. Apply to

J. A. DE CARVALHO.

29d Hongkong, 5th May, 1871.

NOTICE:

WE have resumed business at this port
from date.

REYNOLDS & Co.

Wyndham Street,

Adjoining China Mail Office,

9th May, 1871.

NOTICE:

M. R. WILLIAM ABBOTT TURNBULL
is authorized to sign our firm from this date.

BIRLEY, WORTHINGTON & Co.

ff 829, Shanghai, 1st May, 1871.

NOTICE:

M. R. GEORGE S. HADY is authorized to
sign our firm, for preparation, in Foo-
chow, from this date.

BIRLEY & Co.

ff 804, Hongkong, 8th May, 1871.

NOTICE:

M. R. L. A. ANDERSEN has this day been
appointed Surveyor to the German
Lloyd at Amoy.

PASEDAG & Co.

Agents, Germanasch Lloyd,

2nd 776, Amoy, 1st May, 1871.

NOTICE:

M. R. F. E. HEYDEN has this day been ad-
mitted a partner in our firm.

F. PEIL

2nd 746, Hongkong, 27th April, 1871.

NOTICE:

M. R. JOHN CHRISTIE MASON and Mr.

WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM JOHN-
STON have been admitted partners in our firm
from the 1st of February, 1871; and our Agencies
at Taku and Tsinan will continue to be
managed by Mr. JOHN CHRISTIE MASON,
Esq. & CO.

1m 747, Amoy, 27th April, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE undersigned having established himself
as Wholesale and Retail TOBACCONIST and
SUPPLIES, for the Chinese market, at
20, F. D. Davis, with many Additions, Corrections,
and Dr. Williams' Orthography.

PRICE: In Paper Wrappers, ... \$1.50

Neatly Bound, ... \$2.00

Apply to the Daily Press Office.

NOW READY.

THE HOUSEHOLD COMPANION
AND STUDENTS' PRIMER ASSISTANT.

Dr. Davis, with many Additions, Corrections,
and Dr. Williams' Orthography.

PRICE: In Paper Wrappers, ... \$1.50

Neatly Bound, ... \$2.00

Apply to the Daily Press Office.

NOTICE:

THE interest and responsibility of Mr. E.
WARD PETTIT is our Firm ceased on the
1st December last.

Mr. ALFRED HUTCHISON was admitted a
Partner therein on the 1st January.

DEAGON & Co.

1m 397, Canton, 1st March, 1871.

NOTICE:

WE have established Agencies of our firm
at Taku and Tsinan.

BOYD & Co.

8m 816, Amoy, 1st February, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,
1m 1, Hongkong, 1st January, 1871.

NOTICE:

THE Undersigned having this day entered
into Partnership as a Merchant and Owner
of a Ship, has established a new
Agency, Agents, and Warehouse, under the
name and style of NO. 1.
LYALL & Co.

EDWARD NORTON,
ROBERT LYALL,<br

